

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,168	06/30/2006	Naohisa Kawamura	0003047USU/3019	9886	
27623 OHLANDT C	7590 11/18/201 GREELEY, RUGGIERO	EXA	EXAMINER		
ONE LANDM	ARK SQUARE, 10TH	KENNEDY, NICOLETTA			
STAMFORD,	CT 06901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/18/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,168	KAWAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nicoletta Kennedy	1611	

	Nicoletta Kennedy	1611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the Ano event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or [MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07()).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic le of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.			
non-allowable claim(s). No fror purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∫ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Name of the claim(s) contained the claim of the claim		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/N. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 1611	/Anne R Kubelik/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1638	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpathentable over Hoffman (Us 5264,348) in view of Spade at al. (US 5,185,212), Wick (WO 86/06281) and Kamiyama (US 6,632,905). With regard to Applicant's argument that the SDS block copolymer is essential, no citation is made to support this statement. As stated in the final rejection, the obviousness rejection relies on the substitution of one known pressure survive adhesive for another.

With regard to Applicant's argument that the AAMA of Hoffman is completely different than that of the instant invention, the Examiner agrees. However, the AAMA of Hoffman was not relied upon by itself to show obviousness but merely to point out that AAMA polymers are known to be used with tubuserol.

Finally, with regard to the unexpected results, the Examiner has reviewed the results shown in Tables 2 and 3. However, the results fail to compare the instant claims with the closest prior art and are thus not persuasive. It is suggested that Applicant compares example 1 with an identical composition except that the polymer of Hoffman is substituted for the polymer as instantly claimed. Although comparative example 3 does state that a synthetic rubber-based polymer is used, the specific makeup of the polymer is not disclosed.